



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,446	10/26/2000	David Bruce Kumhyr	AUS9-2000-0501-US1	3535
35525	7590	08/05/2005	EXAMINER	
IBM CORP (YA) C/O YEE & ASSOCIATES PC P.O. BOX 802333 DALLAS, TX 75380			RAMPURIA, SATISH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

47

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/697,446

Applicant(s)

KUMHYR ET AL.

Examiner

Satish S. Rampuria

Art Unit

2191

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 December 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the Amendment filed on Dec 9, 2004.
2. As state in Interview summary that Applicant explained the differences between prior art (Kushmerick et al [6,304,870]) and the claimed invention in detail. Therefore, the finality of the action mailed on 5/12/05 is withdrawn.
3. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,094,649 to Bowen et al. (hereinafter, Bowen).

Per claim 1:

Bowen discloses:

- *scanning a code for a first pair of delimiters* (col. 4, line 53-55 “a keyword search...location identifier”);

Art Unit: 2191

- *determining whether a string within said pair of string delimiters is a path name to a resource file* (col. 4, line 56-62 “keyword with resource locators...include..URLs, hot links, file paths... among others”); and
- *if said string is not a path name to said resource file than flagging said string as a possible hard-coded string* (col. 4 lines 22-26 “One method of the invention begins... selection... one data... in the structured database; each selected item... data and has a corresponding location identifier which identifies the item's location within the structured database”).

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Bowen discloses:

- *wherein said string is not flagged as a possible hard-coded string if said string is a path name to said resource file* (col. 4 lines 22-26 “One method of the invention begins... selection... one data... in the structured database; each selected item... data and has a corresponding location identifier which identifies the item's location within the structured database”).

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Bowen discloses:

- *wherein said code comprises platform-independent byte code* (col. 7, lines 45-50 “...programming languages... Java... C++...and tools”).

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Bowen discloses:

- *wherein said path name is a uniform resource locator* (col. 4, line 56-62 “keyword with resource locators...include... URLs, hot links, file paths... among others”).

Per claim 5:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Bowen discloses:

- *wherein said resource file is a resource bundle* (col. 4, line 56-62 “keyword with resource locators...include... URLs, hot links, file paths... among others”).

Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Bowen discloses:

- *wherein said string within said first pair of string delimiters is a path name to said resource file if said string is in a dot delimited notation* (col. 5, line 55-64 “a “keyword” search... of digital data using a key word or phrase... Keywords may contain wildcards... if the question mark is used as a wildcard capable of matching any single character and the asterisk is used as a wildcard capable of matching any zero or more characters...”).

Art Unit: 2191

Per claims 7 and 8:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Bowen discloses:

- **wherein said code is scanned line by line until said first pair of string delimiters is identified** (col. 4, line 53-55 “a keyword search...location identifier”).

Claim 9 is the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 1 and rejected under the same rationale set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 above.

Claim 10 is the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 2 and rejected under the same rationale set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 2 above.

Claim 11 is the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 3 and rejected under the same rationale set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 3 above.

Claim 12 is the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 4 and rejected under the same rationale set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 4 above.

Claim 13 is the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 5 and rejected under the same rationale set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 5 above.

Claim 14 is the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 6 and rejected under the same rationale set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 6 above.

Claims 15 and 16 are the computer program product claim corresponding to method claim 1 and rejected under the same rationale set forth in connection with the rejection of claim 1 above.

Claims 17-24 are the system claim corresponding to method claims 1-8, respectively, and rejected under the same rationale set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 1-8, respectively, above.

Art Unit: 2191

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Satish S. Rampuria** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3732**. The examiner can normally be reached on **8:30 am to 5:00 pm** Monday to Friday except every other Friday and federal holidays. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100**

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Tuan Q. Dam** can be reached on **(571) 272-3695**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria
Patent Examiner/Software Engineer
Art Unit 2191
07/26/2005


ANIL KHATRI
PRIMARY EXAMINER